

REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Title of Publication: Fox December 2004 Vol. 23 No. 6

Other Known Title: Fox December 2004

Format: Magazine

Director/Author: Not Applicable

Producer/Publisher: Jill Kelly

Country of Origin: USA

Language: English

Classification:	Objectionable.
------------------------	----------------

Descriptive Note: Not Applicable.

Display Conditions: Not Applicable.

Date of entry in Register: 21 January 2005

Date of direction to issue a label: Not Applicable.

Applicant: Comptroller of Customs

Applicant to the Labelling Body: Not Applicable.

OFLC No: 402042

Unexcised version of publication: Not Applicable.

	Components	Running time
Menu:		
Feature:		
Other timed components:		
Total running time:		

Excisions: Not Applicable.

Reasons for excisions:

Not Applicable.

**Summary of reasons
for classification:**

The magazine entitled *Fox December 2004 Vol. 23 No. 6* is classified as:
Objectionable.

This classification is because the publication tends to promote and support the exploitation of young persons for sexual purposes, and promotes and supports the use of urine in association with sexual conduct.

The publication is an adult magazine depicting explicit sexual activity between men and women, and advertising adult sex products and services. A moderately high proportion of the magazine's content is highly degrading to women. Some of the advertisements feature youthful looking women who are presented as being young teenagers readily available for readers' sexual gratification. As a result of the inclusion of such advertising the publication tends to promote and support the exploitation of young persons for sexual purposes. The publication also contains sections which promote and support the use of urine in association with sexual conduct.

The classification of this publication interferes with the freedom of expression, as set out in s14 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. This outcome is consistent, however, with Parliament's intention that publications falling under s3(2) of the Films, Videos, and Publications Classification Act 1993 are deemed to be objectionable. The classification is also a reasonable limitation on the freedom of expression that reflects the concern of a free and democratic society to limit the availability of publications that tend to promote and support the exploitation of young persons for sexual arousal, and which promote and support the use of urine in association with sexual conduct.